

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re the Application of

Christian Joachim KEIDEL et al.

Examiner: Essama Omgba

Application No.: 10/787,257

Group Art Unit: 3726

Filed: February 27, 2004

For: METHOD FOR PRODUCING AN INTEGRATED MONOLITHIC ALUMINUM  
STRUCTURE AND ALUMINUM PRODUCT MACHINED FROM THAT STRUCTURE

**INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the courtesies extended to the undersigned by a personal interview on June 10, 2009. During the interview claims 1 and 38 were discussed relative to the prior art of record.

Applicant also explained that in the Amendment of March 23, 2009 Applicant asserted T1 and T2 of JP 2000-178704 were tempers T1 and T2 because this is what they appeared to be in view of the Abstract describing ageing condition T1 and ageing condition T2. However, in preparation for an interview with the Examiner the undersigned obtained the machine translation of this reference. Then at the interview the undersigned explained the machine translation at paragraphs 0023-0027 appears to disclose T1 and T2 are ageing treatment times.

Respectfully submitted,

/anthony p venturino/

Date: July 13, 2009

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Anthony P. Venturino  
Registration No. 31,674

APV/bms  
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 8674.004.US0000

NOVAK DRUCE + QUIGG, L.L.P.  
1300 I STREET, N.W., SUITE 1000 West Tower  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005  
TEL. 202-659-0100 / FAX. 202-659-0105